XBRL Member Assembly XSB Report

XBRL Standards Board

XBRL XXV

HOSTED BY XBRL JAPAN



Progress Items

- XBRL 2.1 Specification was approved from PER to REC with minor updates to the way that rounding is handled in the spec.
- Conformance Suite 2.1 was moved to Candidate REC (CR)
- Dimensions Specification 1.0 was updated from PER to REC with minor errata corrections
- Generic Preferred Label 1.0 Specification was moved to Proposed Recommendation.(PR)
- "Versioning How To" and "Versioning Overview Working Group Notes (WGN)" were published.
- Versioning Specification including Base, Concept Use,
 Concept Details, and Dimensions was approved to Candidate
 Recommendation (CR) with an official call for implementations.
- Formula Instances Specification was approved with minor editorial changes to Candidate Recommendation (CR).







May 2012- API-TF API Signatures Task Force Launched



June 2012- AMTF- Abstract Model PWD2 released



June 2012- Comparability TF Business Requirements Released



Nov 2012 - Formula WG- Formula Tuples 1.0 to REC (planned)



Nov 2012- Rendering WG- Table Linkbase to REC (planned)



Nov 2012- Versioning WG – Versioning Base 1.0 to REC (planned)



Nov 2012 – Versioning WG- Dimensions release (planned)



Nov 2012- COMP-WG Specification launch (planned)



Nov 2012- API TF Business Requirements Survey (planned)



Nov 2012- Base Spec 2.1 transliterated to S4S format (planned)







about xii tools and services project map event calendar membership contact us xbrl basics the standard best practices taxonomies knowledge centre

Preserve. Promote. Participate

- F-worldwide input received from XII's February 2010 Discussion Document, the XBR. Standards Board dealogach

Make XBRL Easier to Consume

Improve Comparability

Make XBRL Easier for Developers



HOSTED BY XBRL JAPAN



| XSB1. Create an Abstract Mod | lel | | | | | Program Lead | | | | |
|---|---|---------------------|----------------------------------|----------------|----------|-------------------|---|--|--|--|
| Overview | Start Date: | 2010-04-30 | End Not set Overall Status Date: | | | | | | | |
| Abstract models are the foundation of software of Describe overall system structures (static) and be Communicate what is being built between manage Provide a blueprint to engineers during software Assist developers in navigating through complex | ehavior (dyna gement and c construction | mic) development | | | | | | | | |
| "3P" Project Goals | | | Progress to | Date | | | | | | |
| Make XBRL easier for Develope | Base Spec 2.1, Dimensions 1.0 Abstract model released at Montreal (2011-10-24) Public Working Draft 2 issued June 2012 | | | | | | | | | |
| Improve XBRL Comparability | | | | | | | | | | |
| Make XBRL Easier to Consume | | | | | | | | | | |
| Project Benefits | | | Next Steps | | | | | | | |
| Preserve Extends the longevity of the standard Isolates the standard from shifts in technology Promote Communicate how XBRL can be applied to a proj Assist developers in learning & implementing XBI Simplify and unifies the conversations around XB Participate Modelers and software architects are needed XII is now actively filling the roster for this initiation | RL BRL | | PWD2 expo | sure period or | ngoing + | review of feedbac | k | | | |





| XSB2. Produce Training Mater | ial | | | | | Program Lead | | | | |
|--|--|--|------------------|--|--|-----------------|--|--|--|--|
| Overview | Start Date: | Not Set | | | | | | | | |
| Training materials would include: XBRL primers – presents topics related to the lea White papers – discusses how developers have a Case studies – describes a specific business proce Tutorials – outlines the recommended way to ap Samples –helps developers understand what app | pplied the XB ess and shows proach an im | RL specification s it was enhance plementation | d others | | | | | | | |
| "3P" Project Goals | | | Progress to Date | | | | | | | |
| Make XBRL easier for Develope | Program start is dependent on output from XSB1- Abstract Model | | | | | | | | | |
| Improve XBRL Comparability | | | | | | | | | | |
| Make XBRL Easier to Consume | | | | | | | | | | |
| Project Benefits | | | Next Steps | | | | | | | |
| Preserve Improves interoperability of existing solutions Data is exposed to future consumption models Promote A standard API signature will give developers a si XBRL Presents the open source community with a sing Participate Software designers and XBRL developers, this is y contribute your years of experience | le, stable targ | get | | | | | | | | |





XSB3. Define Standards API Signatures

Program Lead

| Overview | Start Date: | May 2012 | End Date: | Not set | Overall Status | |
|----------|----------------|----------|--------------|---------|----------------|--|
|----------|----------------|----------|--------------|---------|----------------|--|

An Application Programming Interface defines how software components communicate

- Developers rely on stable, well-documented APIs to assemble systems out of components
- Vendors of software components will provide these to the developer community

XBRL software vendors have no specific API to target

API signatures are different from vendor to vendor

VSB would like to define and standarding the API signatures for

XSB would like to define and standardize the API signatures for XBRL

| "3P" Project Goals | Progress to Date |
|--|--|
| Make XBRL easier for Developers | Program Launched May 2012 |
| Improve XBRL Comparability | Governance in place (Charter, Project Plan), regular scheduled meetings Draft survey in Survey Monkey |
| Make XBRL Easier to Consume | Candidate release survey incorporating external review |
| Project Benefits | Next Steps |
| Preserve Makes the existing standard more manageable Specification becomes less tightly coupled, and thus more flexible to future demands Promote Improves readability of the specification Assists those new to XBRL to quickly grasp the fundamentals Participate XBRL experts and writers, this is your chance to improve the quality of the specs | Survey of members /market requirements release mid-September 2012 Survey analysis and report by end October 2012 Partition API requirements into domains or packages and prioritise November-December 2012 Detailed requirements analysis for highest priority API domain January-February 2013 Commence API design per requirements analysis March 2013 |





The XBRL family has grown significantly from the single core Base Specification (2003)

- Dimensions, Formula, Versioning, Inline XBRL, Generic Links, Filters, Functions, Variables, Registry ... Specifications need to be allowed to grow and adapt
- Dependencies across the various modules will need to be managed
- · Changes will need to be tracked more robustly

Specifications need to be more readable, Distinguish between the basics and advanced

| "3P" Project Goals | Progress to Date |
|--|---|
| Make XBRL easier for Developers Improve XBRL Comparability Make XBRL Easier to Consume | BaseSpec 2.1 + Dimensions Abstract Model release in PWD Dimensions 1.0 Spec released in XML (S4S) format XSB SharePoint Library Created and Enhanced Audit of Specs conducted Initial Clean-up done as part of Comparability WG Initial conversion of BaseSpec 2.1 to XML (S4S) format |
| Project Benefits | Next Steps |
| Preserve Document the value of existing solutions Propagate lessons learned into future projects Promote Assist developers and those new to XBRL Accelerate the implementation of XBRL solutions Communicates the value of XBRL Participate Projects, developers and writers – consider contributing your experience to our knowledge base | Base Spec WG review of Base Spec 2.1 conversion to XML (S4S) format Public review of Base Spec 2.1 conversion to XML (S4S) format as PER Release of S4S-converted Base Spec 2.1 as REC Base Spec WG undertaking review of short term technical solutions to data streaming |





| XSB5. Enhance Data Comparability | | | | | | | Program Greater | | | |
|---|---|------------------|--|--|--|--|-----------------|--|--|--|
| Overview | Start Date: | Not Set | End Not set Overall Status Date: | | | | | | | |
| XBRL data is already rich and comparable Each fact in an instance document already brings along tremendous semantic meaning A reflection of the domain in which the data is defined Growing volumes on an international scale have started to inspire new use cases across domain boundaries Domain assumptions start to impede comparability Are there ways that XBRL can work around these? | | | | | | | | | | |
| "3P" Project Goals | | Progress to Date | | | | | | | | |
| Make XBRL easier for Develope | Version 1.0 Use Cases and Business Requirements documented A first round of review by "friendly" subject matter experts has occurred | | | | | | | | | |
| Improve XBRL Comparability | | | | | | | | | | |
| Make XBRL Easier to Consume | | | | | | | | | | |
| Project Benefits | | | Next Steps | | | | | | | |
| Preserve Existing data has more potential uses, and thus be (internally and externally) Promote Enhances the value of the XBRL proposition as rice become possible Participate | 1.Distribution for review by wider, more formal audience 2.Update Use Cases and Business Requirements as required 3.Establish a plan for phase 2, the writing of actual standard 4.Form team for second phase 5.Execute | | | | | | | | | |
| Domain experts and XBRL taxonomists, you can himportant objective | nelp us achiev | e this | RISK: Sourcing of resources too slow, risking overall engagement and delivery of program | | | | | | | |







| XSB6. Develop Application Pro | ofiles | | | | | Program Lead: | | | | | |
|---|-------------------|-------------|--------------|------------------------------------|----|------------------|---------|---------------------|--|--|--|
| Overview | Start Date: | Not started | End Date: | Not Started | Ov | Overall Status | | | | | |
| The XBRL family of specifications is deep and cor Projects and developers are never interested in a XBRL technology stack can be decomposed into Provides a means for projects and vendors to de Allows greater freedom to pick and choose the X | | Date | | | | | | | | | |
| Make XBRL easier for Develope | BP" Project Goals | | | | | | | | | | |
| Improve XBRL Comparability | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Make XBRL Easier to Consume | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Project Benefits | | | Next Steps | | | | | | | | |
| Preserve Documents how existing projects have applied X Promote Reduces the scope of XBRL implementations Lowers the bar of entry to those new to XBRL (by to implement) Participate XML technologists and XBRL practitioners, help to appeal to new projects | y being selecti | | | e of this progra ome extent API | | - | outputs | from Abstract Model | | | |









XBRL XXV

HOSTED BY XBRL JAPAN

